

**Yee &
Associates, P.C.**

13760 Noel Road
Suite 900
Dallas, Texas 75240

Main No. (972) 367-2001
Facsimile (972) 367-2008

Facsimile Cover Sheet

To: Commissioner for Patents for Examiner Ryan R. Yang Group Art Unit 2672	Facsimile No.: 703/746-6009
From: Michele Morrow Legal Assistant to Francis Lammes	No. of Pages Including Cover Sheet: 4
Message: Enclosed herewith: • Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form.	
Re: Application No. 09/895,236 Attorney Docket No: AUS920000865US1	
Date: Wednesday, May 05, 2004	
Please contact us at (972) 367-2001 if you do not receive all pages indicated above or experience any difficulty in receiving this facsimile.	<i>This Facsimile is intended only for the use of the addressee and, if the addressee is a client or their agent, contains privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this facsimile, you have received this facsimile inadvertently and in error. Any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying is strictly prohibited. If you received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone and return the facsimile to us immediately.</i>

**PLEASE CONFIRM RECEIPT OF THIS TRANSMISSION
BY FAXING A CONFIRMATION TO 972-367-2008.**

PTOL-413A (08-03)
 Approved for use through 07/31/2008, OMB 0651-0031
 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/895,236 First Named Applicant: Alford, Jr. et al.
 Examiner: Yang, Ryan R. Art Unit: 2672 Status of Application: Final OA

Tentative Participants:

(1) FRANCIS LAMMES, SS 353 (2)
 (3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: 5/12/04 Proposed Time: 1:00 CT (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES

NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>102 Rej.</u>	<u>23, 31 + 34, 55</u>	<u>Bhatt et al.</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<u>4-5</u>	<u>Bhatt et al.</u>			
(2) <u>103 Rej.</u>	<u>21-22</u>	<u>Fisher et al.</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<u>7-9</u>	<u>Bhatt et al.</u>			
(3) <u>103 Rej.</u>	<u>24-26</u>	<u>Rossman et al.</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<u>10-11</u>	<u>Bhatt et al.</u>			
(4) <u>103 Rej.</u>	<u>27-28</u>	<u>Rossman et al.</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<u>103 Rej.</u>	<u>Kodlbaek et al.</u>			
	<u>12-13, 29-30</u>	<u>Bhatt et al.</u>			
[] Continuation Sheet Attached		<u>Haggard et al.</u>			

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

SEE ATTACHED

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Francis Lammes

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application: **Alford, Jr. et al.** §
§
Serial No.: **09/895,236** § Group Art Unit: **2672**
§
Filed: **June 29, 2001** § Examiner: **Yang, Ryan R.**
§
For: **Graphical User Interface for**
Vizualization of Sampled Data
Compared to Entitled of Reference
Levels §
§ Attorney Docket No.: **AUS920000865US1**

AGENDA FOR TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

Sir:

I would like to request a telephone interview on May 12, 2004. There has been no previous Examiner interview conducted. Please consider the following topics for discussion:

- Bhatt et al. does not teach classifying processes into one of a plurality of process classifications. The Examiner notes that P1, P2 and P3 of Figure 5A are three elements of data display. (claims 1, 17, 18 and 35)
- Applicants submit that the three display elements P1, P2, and P3 represent different processors and indicate the percentage of time spent in various activities. The activities being application execution, communication overhead and idle time.
- There is no classification of processes into process classifications which are, for example; system, support, marketing, skilled, promoted, games and bad. This classification is supported by Figure 5 and page 19 of the current specification.